

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 108974 2534 04/12/2001 Masahide Kawakami 09/787,402 EXAMINER 25944 7590 04/19/2004 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC RAHMJOO, MANUCHER P.O. BOX 19928 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 2676

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/787,402	KAWAKAMI, MASAHIDE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mike Rahmjoo	2676
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 March 2004</u> .		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)	A\	(DTO 412)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) 🔀 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 6 and 8- 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda (US Patent 5,900,860), in view of Bavomalo, US Patent 6,643,385.

As per claims 1, 8, 9 and 16 Ueda teaches object determination means which determines part objects (regions I or II) within a predetermined area in the aggregate object as objects to be changed in display form (changing colors) when an impact (having the user point to a certain area and clicking for selection and selecting certain regions see for example column 5 lines 12-26) is applied to the aggregate object thereby simulating breakage (when regions I or II is selected, it would be discriminated from the remaining region O see fro example column 4 lines 61-66) of the aggregate object and the impacted position is included within the predetermined area see for example column 4 lines 44-51 and column 5 lines 12-26 and figure 4; and image generation means which changes at least one of shape, color, position, rotation angle, direction,

Page 3

moving direction and moving speed of the part objects determined as objects to be changed and generates an image see for example column 4 lines 44-51 and column 5 lines 12-26 and figure 4.

However, Ueda does not teach simulating breakage of the aggregate object where at least one part object physically separates from the aggregate object.

Brayomalo teaches simulating breakage of the aggregate object where at least one part object physically separates from the aggregate object see for example figures 4-7 and the abstract.

It would have been made obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Bravomalo into Ueda so that by entering the client's measurements (shape) into a mathematical formula the user's picture (image) can be morphed (modified) into a desired outcome and therefore produce a scientific and user friendly approach to data tabulation and analysis through which the user can see the resulting modifications see for example column 3 lines 43-53.

As per claims 2 and 10 Ueda teaches an area in which the display form of the part objects is changed is determined in accordance with at least one of the magnitude of the impact, the direction of the impact 20 and the type of the aggregate object see for example column 4 lines 61-67 and column 5 lines 1-5.

As per claims 3 and 11 Ueda teaches an area in which the display form of the part objects is changed is randomly determined see for example column 5 lines 12- 25 and column 19 lines 13-27 and column 20 lines 9-15.

As per claims 4 and 12 Ueda teaches changing the display form of the part objects which

Application/Control Number: 09/787,402

Art Unit: 2676

are spaced more apart from the impacted position that changes at a later time than the display form of the part objects closer to the impact position see fro example column 16 lines 12- 25 and column 19 lines 9- 27.

Page 4

As per claims 5, 6, 13 and 14 Ueda teaches changing the part objects which have already been changed to a first display form to further change to a second display form after a given time period has elapsed see for example column 1 lines 20- 34 and column 5 lines 12- 25 and column 7 lines 59- 65.

Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda in view of Chernock et al (US Patent 6,229,524), hereinafter, Chernock.

As per claims 7 and 15 Ueda does not teach the aggregate object is formed by assembling the part objects having different shapes without any gaps.

However, Chernock teaches the aggregate object is formed by assembling the part objects having different shapes without any gaps see for example column 5 lines 55- 67 and figures 2 and 3.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Chernock into Ueda to navigate a cursor among the current hot spots (part objects) in a MMP (multi media presentation) and thus make a selection of a function associated with one of them see for example column 2 line 67 and column 3 lines 1-3.

Art Unit: 2676

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 09/26/2003 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure; US Patent 6,348,923; and

Billiards by Games Universe of which fig.1 on page 1 of 5 shows the initial setting of balls in the aggregate setting corresponding to "part objects within a predetermined area of the aggregate object as objects to be changed in display form when an impact is applied to the aggregate object" and fig. 2 on page 3 of five which shows the following setting of balls in the aggregate setting after the impact is applied corresponding to "an impact is applied is applied to the object where at least one part object is physically separated from the aggregate object and the impacted position is included within the predetermined area".

Page 6

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mike Rahmjoo whose telephone number is (703) 305-5658. The

examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (703) 308-6829. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

Mike Rahmjoo

April 12, 2004

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Marker (Bella

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600